

**PLANNING AND REGULATORY COMMITTEE  
NOTICE OF MEETING**

**Date:** Friday, 27 November 2020  
**Time** 10.30 am  
**Place:** Remote

**Contact:** Joss Butler, Committee Manager

**Email:** [joss.butler@surreycc.gov.uk](mailto:joss.butler@surreycc.gov.uk)

**Phone:** 020 8541 9702

---

**APPOINTED MEMBERS [11]**

Tim Hall (Chairman)	Leatherhead and Fetcham East;
Saj Hussain	Knaphill and Goldsworth West;
Mary Angell	Woodham and New Haw;
Bernie Muir	Epsom West;
Andrew Povey	Cranleigh & Ewhurst;
Keith Taylor	Shere;
Rose Thorn	Godstone;
Stephen Cooksey	Dorking South and the Holmwoods;
Ernest Mallett MBE	West Molesey;
Penny Rivers	Godalming North;
Tim Evans	Lower Sunbury and Halliford;

**EX OFFICIO MEMBERS (NON-VOTING) [4]**

Tim Oliver	Leader of the Council	Weybridge;
Tony Samuels	Chairman of the Council	Walton South & Oatlands;
Helyn Clack	Vice-Chairman of the Council	Dorking Rural;
Colin Kemp	Deputy Leader	Goldsworth East and Horsell Village;

**APPOINTED SUBSTITUTES [09]**

Nick Darby	The Dittons;
Jonathan Essex	Redhill East;
Will Forster	Woking South;
Nick Harrison	Nork & Tattenhams;
Yvonna Lay	Egham;
Chris Townsend	Ashtead;
Chris Botten	Caterham Hill;
Barbara Thomson	Earlswood and Reigate South;
Richard Walsh	Laleham and Shepperton;
Amanda Boote	The Byfleets;

**Register of planning applications: <http://planning.surreycc.gov.uk/>**



## **AGENDA**

### **1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS**

To receive any apologies for absence and notices of substitutions under Standing Order 41.

### **2 MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETING**

(Pages 1 - 2)

To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 22 October 2020.

### **3 PETITIONS**

To receive any petitions from members of the public in accordance with Standing Order 84 (please see note 7 below).

### **4 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

To answer any questions received from local government electors within Surrey in accordance with Standing Order 85 (please see note 8 below).

### **5 MEMBERS' QUESTION TIME**

To answer any questions received from Members of the Council in accordance with Standing Order 68.

### **6 DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS**

All Members present are required to declare, at this point in the meeting or as soon as possible thereafter

- (i) Any disclosable pecuniary interests and / or
- (ii) Other interests arising under the Code of Conduct in respect of any item(s) of business being considered at this meeting

#### **NOTES:**

- Members are reminded that they must not participate in any item where they have a disclosable pecuniary interest
- As well as an interest of the Member, this includes any interest, of which the Member is aware, that relates to the Member's spouse or civil partner (or any person with whom the Member is living as a spouse or civil partner)
- Members with a significant personal interest may participate in the discussion and vote on that matter unless that interest could be reasonably regarded as prejudicial.

**7 MINERALS AND WASTE APPLICATION WA/2019/0796 - LOXLEY WELL SITE - LAND SOUTH OF DUNSFOLD ROAD AND EAST OF HIGH LOXLEY ROAD, DUNSFOLD, SURREY**

(Pages 3 - 234)

The construction, operation and decommissioning of a well site for the exploration and appraisal of hydrocarbon minerals from one exploratory borehole (Loxley-1) and one side - track borehole (Loxley - 1z) for a temporary period of three years involving the siting of plant and equipment, the construction of a new access track, a new highway junction with High Loxley Road, highway improvements at the junction of High Loxley Road and Dunsfold Road and the erection of a boundary fence and entrance gates with restoration to agriculture.

Due to the Covid-19 situation, planning site visits are not able to take place therefore footage of this site can be accessed on the webcasting portal which can be accessed here (select the Resources tab):

[https://surreycc.public-i.tv/core/portal/webcast\\_interactive/515802](https://surreycc.public-i.tv/core/portal/webcast_interactive/515802)

**8 SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL'S LOCAL LIST: REQUEST FORMAL ADOPTION OF LOCAL LIST FOR THE VALIDATION OF COUNTY DEVELOPMENT AND COUNTY MATTERS PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

(Pages 235 - 394)

This report is to advise Members of the responses in regard to the recent consultation on the proposed Local List for the Validation of Planning Applications received by Surrey County Council (the "Local List") and the amendments that have been made as a result. The local list is prepared by the local planning authority to clarify what information is usually required for applications of a particular type, scale or location.

Officers request that the committee formally adopt the Local List allowing for periodic reviews of the Local List and officers to update technical notes following engagement with relevant consultees, when and if required.

**9 DATE OF NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of the Planning & Regulatory Committee will be on 16 December 2020.

**Joanna Killian**  
**Chief Executive**  
Thursday, 19 November 2020

## NOTES:

1. Members are requested to let the Democratic Services Officer have the wording of any motions and amendments not later than one hour before the start of the meeting.
2. Substitutions must be notified to the Democratic Services Officer by the absent Member or group representative at least half an hour in advance of the meeting.
3. Planning officers will introduce their report and be able to provide information or advice to Members during the meeting. They can also be contacted before the meeting if you require information or advice on any matter. Members are strongly encouraged to contact the relevant case officer in advance of the meeting if you are looking to amend or add conditions or are likely to be proposing a reason for refusal. It is helpful if officers are aware of these matters in advance so that they can better advise Members both before and during the meeting.
4. Members of the public can speak at the Committee meeting on any planning application that is being reported to the Committee for decision, provided they have made written representations on the application at least 14 days in advance of the meeting, and provided they have registered their wish to do so with the Democratic Services Officer no later than midday on the working day before the meeting. The number of public speakers is restricted to five objectors and five supporters in respect of each application.
5. Petitions from members of the public may be presented to the Committee provided that they contain 100 or more signatures and relate to a matter within the Committee's terms of reference. The presentation of petitions on the following matters is not allowed: (a) matters which are "confidential" or "exempt" under the Local Government Access to Information Act 1985; and (b) planning applications. Notice must be given in writing at least 14 days before the meeting. Please contact the Democratic Services Officer for further advice.
6. Notice of public questions must be given in writing at least 7 days before the meeting. Members of the public may ask one question relating to a matter within the Committee's terms of reference. Questions on "confidential" or "exempt" matters and planning applications are not allowed. Questions should relate to general policy and not detail. Please contact the Democratic Services Officer for further advice.
7. On 10 December 2013, the Council agreed amendments to the Scheme of Delegation so that:
  - All details pursuant (applications relating to a previously granted permission) and non-material amendments (minor issues that do not change the principles of an existing permission) will be delegated to officers (irrespective of the number of objections).
  - Any full application with fewer than 5 objections, which is in accordance with the development plan and national policies will be delegated to officers.
  - Any full application with fewer than 5 objections that is not in accordance with the development plan (i.e. waste development in Green Belt) and national policies will be delegated to officers in liaison with either the Chairman or Vice Chairman of the Planning & Regulatory Committee.
  - Any application can come before committee if requested by the local member or a member of the Planning & Regulatory Committee.

The revised Scheme of Delegation came into effect as of the date of the Council decision.

## **TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990 – GUIDANCE ON THE DETERMINATION OF PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

This guidance forms part of and should be read in conjunction with the Planning Considerations section in the following committee reports.

Surrey County Council as County Planning Authority (also known as Mineral or Waste Planning Authority in relation to matters relating to mineral or waste development) is required under Section 70(2) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) (1990 Act) when determining planning applications to “*have regard to (a) the provisions of the development plan, so far as material to the application, (b) any local finance considerations, so far as material to the application, and (c) any other material considerations*”. This section of the 1990 Act must be read together with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (2004 Act), which provides that: “*If regard is to be had to the development plan for the purpose of any determination to be made under the planning Acts the determination must be made in accordance with the plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.*”

### **Development plan**

In Surrey the adopted development plan consists of the:

- Surrey Minerals Local Plan 2011 (comprised of the Core Strategy and Primary Aggregates Development Plan Documents (DPD))
- Surrey Waste Plan 2008 (comprised of the Core Strategy, Waste Development and Waste Development Control Policies DPDs)
- Aggregates Recycling Joint DPD for the Minerals and Waste Plans 2013 (Aggregates Recycling DPD 2013)
- Any saved local plan policies and the adopted Local Development Documents (development plan documents and supplementary planning documents) prepared by the eleven Surrey district/borough councils in Surrey
- South East Plan 2009 Policy NRM6 Thames Basin Heaths Special Protection Area (apart from a policy relating to the former Upper Heyford Air Base in Oxfordshire the rest of the plan was revoked on 25 March 2013)
- Any neighbourhood plans (where they have been approved by the local community at referendum)

Set out in each report are the development plan documents and policies which provide the development plan framework relevant to the application under consideration.

### **Material considerations**

Material considerations will vary from planning application to planning application and can include: relevant European policy; the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (revised July 2018 and updated February 2019) and subsequent updates; the March 2014 national Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) and updates; National Planning Policy for Waste (NPPW) October 2014; Waste Management Plan for England 2013; extant planning policy statements; Government Circulars and letters to Chief Planning Officers; emerging local development documents (being produced by Surrey County Council, the district/borough council or neighbourhood forum in whose area the application site lies).

### **National Planning Policy Framework and Planning Practice Guidance**

The [National Planning Policy Framework](#) (NPPF) was updated in February 2019. This revised NPPF replaces the previous NPPF published in March 2012 and revised in July 2018. It continues to provide consolidated guidance for local planning authorities and decision takers in relation to decision-taking (determining planning applications) and in preparing plans (plan making).

The NPPF sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied and the associated March 2014 [Planning Practice Guidance](#) (PPG) provides related guidance. The NPPF should be read alongside other national planning policies on [Waste](#), [Travellers](#), [Planning for Schools Development](#), [Sustainable Drainage Systems](#), [Parking](#), and [Starter Homes](#).

At the heart of the NPPF is a presumption in favour of sustainable development (paragraph 10). The NPPF makes clear that the planning system has three overarching objectives in order to achieve sustainable development, which are interdependent and need to be pursued in mutually supportive ways in order to take opportunities to secure net gains across each of the different objectives. These objectives are economic, social and environmental.

The presumption in favour of sustainable development in the NPPF does not change the statutory principle that determination of planning applications must be made in accordance with the adopted development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The NPPF is one of those material considerations. In determining planning applications the NPPF (paragraph 11) states that development proposals that accord with the development plan should be approved without delay. Where there are no relevant development plan policies, or the policies which are most important in determining an application are out of date, permission should be granted unless the application of policies in the NPPF that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed or any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the NPPF as a whole.

The NPPF aims to strengthen local decision making and reinforce the importance of up to date plans. Annex 1 paragraph 213 states that in determining planning applications, local planning authorities should give due weight to relevant policies in existing plans according to their degree of consistency with the NPPF (the closer the policies are to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight they may be given).

## **HUMAN RIGHTS ACT 1998 GUIDANCE FOR INTERPRETATION**

The Human Rights Act 1998 does not incorporate the European Convention on Human Rights into English law. It does, however, impose an obligation on public authorities not to act incompatibly with those Convention rights specified in Schedule 1 of that Act. As such, those persons directly affected by the adverse effects of decisions of public authorities may be able to claim a breach of their human rights. Decision makers are required to weigh the adverse impact of the development against the benefits to the public at large.

The most commonly relied upon articles of the European Convention are Articles 6, 8 and Article 1 of Protocol 1. These are specified in Schedule 1 of the Act.

Article 6 provides the right to a fair and public hearing. Officers must be satisfied that the application has been subject to proper public consultation and that the public have had an opportunity to make representations in the normal way and that any representations received have been properly covered in the report.

Article 8 covers the right to respect for a private and family life. This has been interpreted as the right to live one's personal life without unjustified interference. Officers must judge whether the development proposed would constitute such an interference and thus engage Article 8.

Article 1 of Protocol 1 provides that a person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions and that no-one shall be deprived of his possessions except in the public interest. Possessions will include material possessions, such as property, and also planning permissions

and possibly other rights. Officers will wish to consider whether the impact of the proposed development will affect the peaceful enjoyment of such possessions.

These are qualified rights, which means that interference with them may be justified if deemed necessary in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic well-being of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others.

Any interference with a Convention right must be proportionate to the intended objective. This means that such an interference should be carefully designed to meet the objective in question and not be arbitrary, unfair or overly severe.

European case law suggests that interference with the human rights described above will only be considered to engage those Articles and thereby cause a breach of human rights where that interference is significant. Officers will therefore consider the impacts of all applications for planning permission and will express a view as to whether an Article of the Convention may be engaged.